

REVIEW

Liquid vermicompost as a biostimulant in chili pepper nurseries: Literature review and experimental justification

Vermicompost líquido como bioestimulante en viveros de ají: Revisión de literatura y justificación experimental

Miguel Arellano Molina¹, Ana Guillén Durán¹, Hebandreyna González García²  

¹Universidad Nacional Experimental Sur Del Lago “Jesús María Semprum”, Departamento de Ingeniería de la Producción Agropecuaria. Santa Bárbara de Zulia. Venezuela.

²Centro de Investigaciones Ambientales “José Antonio Cándamo” - CIAM, Corporación Universitaria del Meta (UNIMETA), Villavicencio, Meta, Colombia.

Cite as: Arellano Molina M, Guillén Durán A, González García H. Liquid vermicompost as a biostimulant in chili pepper nurseries: Literature review and experimental justification. Environmental Research and Ecotoxicity. 2024; 3:113. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ere2024113>

Submitted: 27-05-2023

Revised: 10-10-2023

Accepted: 25-02-2024

Published: 26-02-2024

Editor: Prof. Dr. William Castillo-González 

Corresponding Author: Hebandreyna González García 

ABSTRACT

Introduction: the research focused on analyzing the effect of liquid vermicompost on the cultivation of chili bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) during its nursery stage. The starting point was the environmental problem represented by organic wastes generated in different productive sectors, proposing vermicomposting as a biological management technique to transform them into useful fertilizers. Liquid vermicompost was considered to offer nutritional and physiological advantages for plants, as it contains nutrients, microorganisms and growth hormones.

Development: the study reviewed relevant scientific background who evaluated different biostimulants and composts in horticultural crops. All agreed that the use of vermicompost, both solid and liquid, favored vegetative development and productive yield. Variables such as plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter and fruit weight were significantly improved compared to controls or traditional chemical treatments. Liquid vermicompost was shown to be an effective and low-cost alternative, suitable for small producers.

Conclusions: liquid vermicompost, applied at different concentrations, notably improved the growth and development of chili bell pepper seedlings. In addition to increasing morphological parameters, it reduced the time needed to take the seedlings to the final field. The research concluded that this organic fertilizer represented a viable agroecological option, capable of substituting conventional fertilizers, improving the quality of the substrate and strengthening sustainable practices in agricultural nurseries.

Keywords: Vermicompost; Chili; Nursery; Substrate; Biostimulant.

RESUMEN

Introducción: la investigación se enfocó en analizar el efecto del vermicompost líquido en el cultivo de ají (*Capsicum annuum* L.) durante su fase de vivero. Se partió del problema ambiental que representan los residuos orgánicos generados en distintos sectores productivos, proponiendo el vermicompostaje como técnica de manejo biológico para transformarlos en abonos útiles. Se consideró que el vermicompost líquido ofrecía ventajas nutricionales y fisiológicas para las plantas, al contener nutrientes, microorganismos y hormonas de crecimiento.

Desarrollo: el estudio revisó antecedentes científicos relevantes quienes evaluaron distintos bioestimulantes y compostajes en cultivos hortícolas. Todos coincidieron en que el uso de vermicompost, tanto sólido como líquido, favoreció el desarrollo vegetativo y el rendimiento productivo. Se destacaron variables como la

altura de planta, número de hojas, diámetro del tallo y peso del fruto, que se vieron significativamente mejoradas en comparación con testigos o tratamientos químicos tradicionales. El vermicompost líquido se mostró como una alternativa efectiva y de bajo costo, apta para pequeños productores.

Conclusiones: el vermicompost líquido, aplicado en diferentes concentraciones, mejoró notablemente el crecimiento y desarrollo de plántulas de ají. Además de incrementar parámetros morfológicos, redujo el tiempo necesario para llevar las plántulas al campo definitivo. La investigación concluyó que este abono orgánico representó una opción agroecológica viable, capaz de sustituir fertilizantes convencionales, mejorar la calidad del sustrato y fortalecer prácticas sostenibles en viveros agrícolas.

Palabras clave: Vermicompost; Ají; Vivero; Sustrato; Bioestimulante.

INTRODUCTION

The growing generation of materials of very diverse origin in different activities, which are considered waste or by-products and are fundamentally organic, coming from different sectors, although in the primary sector (agriculture and livestock) and processing activities, as well as urban waste such as sludge from wastewater (WWTP) and plant debris from the maintenance of municipal parks and gardens, is where the most significant quantities are being produced.^(1,2,3,4)

Composting and vermicomposting are two techniques that allow the biological management of these organic wastes or by-products, for their stabilization and maturation until they become products with fertilizer value for the soil and free of possible risks of phytotoxicity or environmental contamination.⁽⁵⁾ Vermicomposting performs this degradation function through the joint action of microorganisms and earthworms, which reduces process costs, shortens processing times, and yields a higher quality end product.^(6,7,8,9)

On the other hand, chili peppers undergo certain biological phenomena linked to their phenological phases and different variables such as the nutritional level of the substrate used in the nursery stage. According to Solomon et al.⁽⁶⁾, these biological changes may or may not be visible from emergence to the fall of the cotyledons. Mundarain et al.⁽⁷⁾, pointed out that in the nursery stage, some changes can be evaluated between 35 and 40 days after sowing, which is the time required for the plant to reach the field with an approximate height of 12 to 15 centimeters, a thickness of 5 to 7 millimeters, and 4 or 5 leaflets. These parameters will be reached in a shorter or longer time depending on some variables, among which we can mention the nutritional level of the substrates.^(10,11,12)

Domínguez et al.⁽⁸⁾ have stated that the use of liquid vermicompost in substrates considerably increases the growth and development of some horticultural crops, such as chili peppers, since vermicompost is a source of nutrition for plants and can also significantly improve the physical properties of substrates. Similarly, Torres et al.⁽⁹⁾ mention that liquid vermicompost has been shown to have positive effects on crops because it acts as a stimulator or regulator of plant growth by enhancing biochemical and physiological activity through its hormonal components (auxins, cytokinins, and gibberellins), mineral nutrients, amino acids, and low molecular weight proteins, as well as the humic acids that constitute the biostimulator.

Based on these premises, to achieve the parameters set for the growth and development of horticultural crops in the nursery phase, it was decided to test liquid vermicompost in three different concentrations on chili pepper crops as an ideal agroecological alternative for horticultural crop production in the nursery phase. The aim was to implement organic options that would produce vigorous, healthy seedlings that could be transplanted in the shortest possible time, providing horticultural producers with an organic, economical, and viable solution for fertilizing their crops.^(13,14,15)

Similarly, the research work's theoretical contribution was based on analyzing different conceptual references on organic fertilizers, vermicomposting, and chili pepper cultivation, building an updated state of the art that allows for a better understanding of these topics. The few studies that have been conducted in the study area have been related to the use of organic fertilizers, mainly solid, in leafy vegetable crops; however, the use of vermicompost leachate and its effect on the growth and development stages of chili pepper cultivation have not been extensively addressed.

Similarly, agricultural research institutes can use the information obtained in this study for other production units with the same or similar climatic conditions. In addition, it will serve as a basis for future studies to strengthen and increase the use of organic fertilizers in the fertilization of vegetable crops.^(16,17,18)

DEVELOPMENT

Background to the research

One of the previous studies considered was that of Solórzano (2019, entitled: Effect of chitosan, mycorrhizal fungi, and humic acids on the growth and development of pepper varieties (*Capsicum annum* L) under protected conditions. The study was conducted at the "La María" experimental farm in the Mocache Canton,

Los Ríos Province, located at kilometer 7,5 of the Quevedo-El Empalme road, Ecuador. A completely randomized design with a 2x4 factorial arrangement and three replicates was used, with the first factor being the varieties (Magaly and Lycal). The second being the biostimulants: humic acids (1:30 v/v), chitosan (3 g/l of water), and mycorrhizal fungi (20 g of spores/ml), with a control (without application of biostimulants) added for each variety.

The results showed that the three bioactive compounds studied increased seed germination by 11,66 and 16,67 %, while emergence was enhanced by humic acids and chitosan (90,00 and 86,67 % of seedlings emerged, respectively). The application of humic acids produced taller plants 25 and 45 days after sowing, with 19,38 and 46,38 cm, and stems with a larger diameter, 8,87 and 16,05 mm, in the two evaluations, respectively. This, in turn, increased the fresh and dry biomass of the seedlings (339,38 and 106,72 g). Fruit production per plant (15,33 fruits), as well as fruit length, diameter, and weight, increased with the application of humic acids (12,22 cm, 43,33 mm, and 92,22 g), generating the highest yield at 29,166,67 kg/ha. Thus, they recommend applying humic acids in pepper production, as it showed the best growth and production indicators for this crop under protected conditions.

For their part, González-Solano et al.⁽²⁾, in their study entitled: Use of vermicompost tea in leafy vegetable production in Mexico, aimed to compare the application of vermicompost tea with the Steiner solution (universal solution) to determine its efficiency as a source of nutrients in leafy vegetable production.

To this end, they prepared vermicompost tea with 4 kg of vermicompost contained in a sack submerged in 16 liters of water; they then made dilutions to apply to the crop, adjusting the pH to 5. They also considered the electrical conductivity (EC), which should not be greater than 2,0 dS m⁻¹, trying to adjust it to a standard for nutrient solutions made from mineral salts.

NFT (nutrient film technique), a straightforward system using PVC pipes and solution storage tanks, was used to produce leafy vegetables. This system is automated with a pump that sends the solution through the PVC pipes every two hours to where the plants grow. The system was compared with Steiner's mineral nutrient solution to assess the potential of vermiSteiner's sea as a nutrient solution in producing basil, cilantro, and lettuce.^(19,20,21,22)

The biomass results recorded for basil and cilantro plants treated with vermicompost tea were similar to those grown with the Steiner solution. However, the authors highlight the advantage of tea, which is a viable option for vegetable production. It is easily obtained with fewer inputs, thus representing a valuable alternative within the reach of producers with limited financial and technological resources.

Similarly, Zambrano⁽³⁾ carried out his exceptional degree project entitled: Effect of vermicompost on the growth and yield of pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under a protected system at the La Teodomira Experimental Station, belonging to the Faculty of Agricultural Engineering of the Technical University of Manabí, Ecuador. The aim is to evaluate the responses in growth and yield in the cultivation of peppers (*Capsicum annuum* L.) by applying different doses of vermicompost. Results were similar to several studies.^(22,23,24)

For this purpose, a randomized block design was used, in which five treatments were applied and distributed as follows: treatment 1 (control soil); treatment 2 (bovine manure vermicompost (VEB) 7 t.ha⁻¹; 420 g/plant); treatment 3 (VEB 5 t.ha⁻¹; 300 g/plant); treatment 4 (VEB 3 t.ha⁻¹; 180 g/plant) and treatment 5 (chemical fertilization NPK; 10g/plant); where the following variables were evaluated: plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves, photosynthetic pigments, and total production. SPSS software was used to analyze the variables under study statistically.

The use of vermicompost had favorable effects on most of the variables studied, leading to significant increases in height and number of leaves per plant compared to plants fertilized with nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Treatment 4 (VEB 3 t.ha⁻¹) stood out as the most comprehensive.^(25,26,27)

Similarly, Moreno et al.⁽⁹⁾, evaluated the behavior of Hungarian chili peppers (*Capsicum annuum*) in vermicompost-sand mixtures under protected conditions at the facilities of the Universidad Autónoma Agraria Antonio Narro - Unidad Laguna, in Torreón, Coahuila, Mexico, in order to determine the optimal concentration of the vermicompost-sand mixture (VC: A; v:v) that would meet the nutritional needs of Hungarian chili peppers (*Capsicum annuum*). A randomized block design with five replicates was used, where the mixtures evaluated were four combinations of VC: A with ratios of 1:1, 2:1, 3:1, 4:1, and a control 0:1 (sand plus nutrient solution). Similarly, the variables evaluated were plant height and basal stem diameter, fruit length, equatorial diameter, pericarp thickness, number of locules, weight, and yield.^(28,29,30)

Similarly, to determine the effect of the treatments on the variables evaluated, ANDEVA was applied, and Tukey's test at 0,05 was used to compare means. The main results obtained for the variables evaluated in chili cultivation, such as plant height, fruit length, pericarp thickness, and number of fruits per plant, showed highly significant differences ($P \leq 0,01$), with the 1:1 mixture being the most suitable for development. Plant height, fruit length, pericarp thickness, and number of fruits per plant showed highly significant differences ($P \leq 0,01$), with treatment 1:1 being the most suitable mixture for developing Hungarian chili peppers under protected conditions. They also indicate that increasing vermicompost amounts improves crop development.^(31,32,33)

Similarly, López-Baltazar et al.⁽¹⁰⁾ conducted a study entitled: Agronomic evaluation of substrates in

'onza' chili seedlings (*Capsicum annum*) in a greenhouse, from the Protected Horticulture module of the Technological Institute of the Valley of Oaxaca, Mexico. To this end, they determined the physical, chemical, and agronomic properties of four substrates from agricultural waste used to produce 'onza' chili seedlings as an alternative to conventional substrates. A randomized experimental design was used, with four treatments and three replicates for 12 experimental units. The treatments were: peat (T1) (control), vermicompost (T2), vermicompost + mezcal agave bagasse compost (T3), and mezcal agave bagasse compost (T4).^(34,35,36,37,38,39)

Among the main results, they point out that the highest germination percentage was observed in the seeds from treatment T1, with no differences from the seeds germinated in the alternative substrates T3 and T4, so it is considered that these did not negatively affect the germination of the 'onza' chili, only T2 (VC 100 %) reduced germination by 10 % compared to the rest of the treatments. Therefore, even though the plant material used corresponds to collections selected by producers in the region, they indicate that genetic variability could affect the seed's germination capacity.

In the same context, seedlings grown in vermicompost + maguey bagasse (50:50) (T3) and in mezcalero maguey bagasse (T4) had the most significant height (12,29 cm and 13,07 cm, respectively). Meanwhile, seedlings grown in vermicompost had the highest number of leaves (9,25).^(40,41,42,43,44,45)

CONCLUSIONS

The research concluded that using liquid vermicompost as an organic alternative in the nursery stage of chili pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) cultivation positively affected seedling growth and development. Based on an analysis of national and international data, it was found that the application of organic products such as vermicompost leachates, humic acids, and other biostimulants significantly improved key morphophysiological variables such as plant height, number of leaves, stem diameter, and yield, even when compared to conventional chemical fertilizers.

Vermicompost, a product of biological decomposition mediated by earthworms and microorganisms, provided essential nutrients, growth hormones, and beneficial microorganisms that improved substrate conditions and, therefore, the nutritional status of the seedlings. This input contributed to vigorous plant development and greater efficiency in the use of time in the nursery phase, allowing for transplanting to the final field in a shorter period.

Likewise, comparison with other studies showed that liquid vermicompost could compete favorably with traditional mineral solutions, offering ecological, economic, and productive advantages, especially in protected systems and under controlled conditions. Previous research by Solórzano (2019), Zambrano (2018), and González-Solano et al. (2018) reinforced these findings, reporting increases in yield, fruit quality, and vegetative development in different horticultural crops under vermicompost treatments.

Similarly, it was confirmed that substrate quality was decisive in achieving seedlings with optimal characteristics for transplanting. Vermicompost improved the substrate's physical structure, water retention capacity, and biological activity, which are key aspects for effective germination and emergence.

In short, liquid vermicompost was presented as a viable and sustainable agroecological strategy for small and medium-sized producers, providing an accessible, environmentally friendly option capable of generating high-quality horticultural products. Its use in agricultural nurseries was recommended as a standard practice within organic or integrated production systems, thus promoting more sustainable agriculture that is resilient to the limitations of the conventional model.

REFERENCES

1. Solórzano A. Efecto de quitosano, hongos micorrízicos y ácidos húmicos sobre el crecimiento y desarrollo en variedades de pimiento (*Capsicum annum*) [trabajo de grado]. Ecuador: Universidad Técnica Estatal de Quevedo, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias; 2019 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <https://repositorio.uteq.edu.ec/handle/43000/3848>
2. González-Solano K, Rodríguez-Mendoza M, Sánchez-Escudero J, Trejo-Téllez L, García-Cué J. Uso de té de vermicompost en la producción de hortalizas de hoja. *Agro Productividad*. 2018;7(6).
3. Zambrano J. Efecto del vermicompost sobre el crecimiento y rendimiento del cultivo de pimiento (*Capsicum annum* L.) bajo sistema protegido [trabajo de grado]. Ecuador: Universidad Técnica de Manabí, Facultad de Ingeniería Agronómica; 2018 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <http://repositorio.utm.edu.ec/bitstream/123456789/1277/1/EFFECTO%20DEL%20VERMICOMPOST%20SOBRE%20EL%20CRECIMIENTO%20Y%20RENDIMIENTO%20DEL%20CULTIVO%20DE%20PIMIENTO%20%28Capsicum%20annuum%20L.%29%20BAJO%20SISTEMA%20PROTEGIDO.pdf>
4. Vázquez E, Teutscheroová N, Fernández E, Benito M, Masaguer A. La combinación del vermicompostaje y compostaje mejora las propiedades agronómicas del producto final respecto al solo compostaje de los residuos.

En: López R, Cabrera F, editores. V Jornadas de la Red Española de Compostaje. Reciclando los residuos para mejorar los suelos y el medioambiente. Red Española de Compostaje; 2017. p. 277-81.

5. Solomon E, Berg L, Martín D. Biología. México: Cengage Learning; 2014.
6. Mundarain S, Coa M, Cañizares A. Fenología del crecimiento y desarrollo de plántulas de ají dulce. Rev UDO Agrícola. 2005;5(1):62-7.
7. Domínguez J, Lazcano C, Brandon M. Influencia del vermicompost en el crecimiento de las plantas. Acta Zool Mex. 2012;26(2):359-71.
8. Torres A, Cué J, Hernández G, Peñarrieta S. Efectos del BIOSTÁN en la altura y masa seca de *Phaseolus vulgaris*, genotipo criollo. Rev La Técnica. 2015;15:18-25.
9. Moreno A, Rodríguez N, Reyes J, Márquez C, Reyes J. Comportamiento del chile Húngaro (*Capsicum annuum*) en mezclas de vermicompost-arena bajo condiciones protegidas. Rev Fac Cienc Agrar. 2014;46(2):97-111.
10. López-Baltazar J, Méndez-Matías A, Pliego-Marín L, Aragón-Robles E, Robles-Martínez M. Evaluación agronómica de sustratos en plántulas de chile 'onza' (*Capsicum annuum*) en invernadero. Rev Mex Cienc Agríc. 2013;6:1139-50.
11. Agencia Chilena para la Inocuidad y Calidad Alimentaria (ACHIPIA). Uso y manejo de abonos orgánicos [Internet]. Fondo de Cooperación Chile-México; 2017 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/290745/Gu_a_Abonos_Org_nicos_.pdf
12. Arias F. El proyecto de investigación: introducción a la metodología científica. Caracas: Editorial Episteme; 2012.
13. Asian Vegetable Research and Development Center (AVRDC). Vegetable production training manual. Tainan, Taiwán: AVRDC Publication; 1990.
14. Ansorena J, Batalla E, Merino D. Evaluación de la calidad y usos del compost como componente de sustratos, enmiendas y abonos orgánicos [Internet]. Insurumen Eta Nekazal Laborategia; 2014 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: https://issuu.com/horticulturaposcosecha/docs/140711evaluar_compost_ansorena
15. Brukhin V, Morozova N. Plant growth and development - basic knowledge and current views. Math Model Nat Phenom. 2011;6(2):1-53.
16. Cajamarca D. Procedimientos para la elaboración de abonos orgánicos [tesis de grado]. Cuenca: Universidad de Cuenca, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias; 2012 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <http://dspace.ucuenca.edu.ec/bitstream/123456789/3277/1/TESIS.pdf>
17. Di Benedetto A, Tognetti J. Técnicas de análisis de crecimiento de plantas: su aplicación a cultivos intensivos. Rev Investig Agropecu. 2016;ISSN 1669-2314.
18. Fontúrbel F, Achá D, Mondaca D. Manual de introducción a la botánica. La Paz, Bolivia: Editorial Publicaciones Integrales; 2007.
19. Fundación de Desarrollo Agropecuario. Cultivo de ají. Boletín N° 20. Santo Domingo, República Dominicana: Fundación de Desarrollo Agropecuario INC; 1989.
20. Garro J. El suelo y los abonos orgánicos. San José, Costa Rica: Instituto Nacional de Innovación y Transferencia de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA); 2016.
21. González K, Rodríguez M, Trejo L, Sánchez J, García J. Propiedades químicas de tés de vermicompost. Rev Mex Cienc Agríc. 2013;(Pub Esp 5):901-11.
22. Instituto Nicaragüense de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA). Elaboración de abonos orgánicos [Internet].

2018 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <https://inta.gob.ni/project/elaboracion-de-abonos-organicos/>

23. Jiménez V. Efecto de aplicación de diferentes fuentes de fertilizantes en 3 genotipos de chile (*Capsicum annuum* L.) a nivel plántula [tesis de grado]. México: Universidad Autónoma Agraria, División de Agronomía; 2010 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <http://repositorio.uaaan.mx:8080/xmlui/handle/123456789/44832>

24. Larco E. Desarrollo y evaluación de lixiviados de compost y lombricompost para el manejo de sigatoka negra (*Mycosphaerella fijiensis* Morelet), en plátano [tesis de maestría]. Turrialba, Costa Rica: CATIE; 2004 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <http://repositorio.bibliotecaorton.catie.ac.cr/handle/11554/4776?show=full>

25. Martínez C. Potencial de la lombricultura: elementos básicos para su desarrollo. Texcoco, México: Lombricultura Técnica Mexicana; 1996.

26. Martínez D, Torres J. Manual teórico: fisiología vegetal [Internet]. Puebla: Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Escuela de Biología; 2013 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: https://www.academia.edu/5198464/BENEMERITA_UNIVERSIDAD_AUTONOMA_DE_PUEBLA_ESCUELA_DE_BIOLOGIA_MANUAL_TEORICO_FISIOLOGIA_VEGETAL

27. Melgar R, Benítez E, Nogales R. Bioconversion of wastes from olive oil industries by vermicomposting process using the epigeic earthworm *Eisenia andrei*. *J Environ Sci Health B*. 2009;44(5):488-95.

28. Melo O, López L, Melo S. Diseño de experimentos: métodos y aplicaciones. Colombia: Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Centro Editorial de la Facultad de Ciencias; 2020.

29. Mendoza D. Vermicompost y compost de residuos hortícolas como componentes de sustratos para la producción de planta ornamental y aromática [tesis doctoral]. Valencia, España: Universidad Politécnica de Valencia; 2010 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <https://riunet.upv.es/handle/10251/8685?show=full>

30. Mosquera B. Abonos orgánicos: protegen el suelo y garantizan alimentación sana. Manual para elaborar y aplicar abonos y plaguicidas orgánicos [Internet]. FONAG-USAID; 2010 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: https://issuu.com/frederys1712doc/docs/abonos_orgnicos_-_protegen_el_sue

31. Martínez D, Torres J. Manual teórico: fisiología vegetal [Internet]. Puebla: Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Escuela de Biología; 2013 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <https://www.academia.edu/5198464/>

32. Monge A. Evaluación del crecimiento y desarrollo de plántulas de tomate y chile dulce mediante seis sustratos y tres métodos de fertilización [trabajo de grado]. Costa Rica: Instituto Tecnológico de Costa Rica; 2007 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/60991235.pdf>

33. Murray R, Bojórquez J, Hernández A, Orozco M, García J, Gómez R, et al. Efecto de la materia orgánica sobre las propiedades físicas del suelo en un sistema agroforestal en Nayarit, México. *Rev Bio-Ciencias*. 2011;1(3):27-35.

34. Nogales R. Vermicompostaje en el reciclado de residuos agroindustriales [Internet]. XII Congreso Ecuatoriano de la Ciencia del Suelo; 2010 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <http://www.secsuelo.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/06/4.-Rogelio-Nogales.-Vermicompostaje.pdf>

35. Organización de las Naciones Unidas para la Alimentación y la Agricultura (FAO). Agricultura orgánica [Internet]. 2015 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <http://www.fao.org/organicag/oa-faq/oa-faq1/es/>

36. Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS). Consecuencias sanitarias del empleo de plaguicidas en la agricultura [Internet]. Ginebra: OMS; 1992 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/39175>

37. Palella S, Martins F. Metodología de la investigación cuantitativa. Caracas: Fondo Editorial de la Universidad Pedagógica Experimental Libertador (FEDUPEL); 2017.

38. Pérez-Espinosa A, Camiletti J, Pérez-Murcia M, Agulló E, Andreu J, Bustamante M, et al. Biotransformación

de residuos orgánicos de distinta naturaleza combinando compostaje y vermicompostaje. En: López R, Cabrera F, editores. V Jornadas de la Red Española de Compostaje. Red Española de Compostaje; 2017. p. 88-92.

39. Rodríguez P. Impacto del lixiviado de humus de lombriz sobre el crecimiento y productividad del cultivo de habichuela (*Vigna unguiculata*). Ciencia en su PC. 2017;(2):44-58.

40. Rosario M, Camacho C. Apuntes de metodología de la investigación. Santa Bárbara de Zulia, Venezuela: Dirección de Publicaciones UNESUR; 2015.

41. Santiago A, Nahuat J. Efecto de la dosificación del lixiviado de lombriz (*Eisenia foetida*) en el cultivo de chile habanero (*Capsicum chinense*) [trabajo de grado]. México: Instituto Tecnológico de la Zona Maya; 2016 [citado 2024 mar 30]. Disponible en: http://www.itzonamaya.edu.mx/web_biblio/archivos/res_prof/agro/agro-2016-11.pdf

42. Segura J. Introducción al desarrollo: concepto de hormona vegetal. En: Azcón J, Talón M, editores. Fundamento de fisiología vegetal. Barcelona: McGraw-Hill Interamericana; 2007. p. 349-76.

43. Trevisan S, Ornella F, Quaggiotti S, Serenella N. Humic substances biological activity at the plant-soil interface. Plant Signal Behav. 2010;5(6):635-43.

44. Valadez L. Producción de hortalizas. México: Limusa, S.A.; 1998.

45. Yuni J, Urbano C. Técnicas para investigar: recursos metodológicos para la preparación de proyectos de investigación. Argentina: Editorial Brujas; 2014.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Data curation: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Formal analysis: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Research: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Methodology: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Project management: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Resources: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Software: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Supervision: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Validation: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Visualization: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Writing - original draft: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.

Writing - review and editing: Miguel Arellano Molina; Ana Guillén Durán; Hebandreyna González García.