

REVIEW

Plastic wood as an element for environmental sustainability

La madera plástica como elemento para la sustentabilidad ambiental

Ginna Tovar Cardozo¹  

¹Universidad de la Amazonia. Florencia, Colombia

Cite as: Tovar Cardozo G. Plastic wood as an element for environmental sustainability. Environmental Research and Ecotoxicity. 2026;5:299.
<https://doi.org/10.56294/ere2026299>

Submitted: 06-02-2025

Revised: 19-05-2025

Accepted: 18-12-2025

Published: 01-01-2026

Editor: Manickam Sivakumar 

Corresponding author: Ginna Tovar Cardozo 

ABSTRACT

This study examines plastic wood as a crucial element for environmental sustainability, highlighting its role in plastic waste reduction and the promotion of the circular economy. By transforming plastic waste into a useful construction material, plastic wood not only decreases plastic pollution but also provides a sustainable alternative to traditional building materials. The research reveals how plastic wood production significantly contributes to the conservation of natural resources by minimizing the need for extracting new raw materials, thus reducing the carbon footprint and energy consumption associated with the manufacturing of conventional construction materials. Additionally, the analysis of the social and economic impact of plastic wood adoption indicates that its implementation fosters job creation, drives innovation in green technologies, and promotes local economic development. These benefits underline the importance of plastic wood not only from an environmental perspective but also as a driver for sustainable development and social inclusion.

Keywords: Plastic Wood; Environmental Sustainability; Circular Economy; Plastic Waste Reduction; Green Technologies.

RESUMEN

En el estudio relacionado con el tema de la madera plástica, como elemento para la sustentabilidad ambiental, se examina este tipo de madera destacando su rol en la reducción de residuos plásticos y en la promoción de la economía circular ubicándolo como elemento crucial para la sustentabilidad ambiental. Convertir los desechos plásticos en un material de construcción útil como la madera plástica disminuye la contaminación por plásticos y ofrece una alternativa sostenible para disminuir el uso de materiales tradicionales de construcción. El resultado de la investigación revela cómo la producción de madera plástica contribuye significativamente a la conservación de recursos naturales porque minimiza la necesidad de extraer materias primas nuevas, reduciendo así, la huella de carbono y el consumo de energía asociados a la fabricación de materiales de construcción convencionales. Además, el análisis del impacto social y económico de la adopción de madera plástica indica que su implementación fomenta la creación de empleo, impulsa la innovación en tecnologías verdes y promueve el desarrollo económico local. Estos beneficios subrayan la importancia de la madera plástica, no solo desde una perspectiva ambiental, sino que también, este tipo de madera se puede considerar como un motor para el desarrollo sostenible y la inclusión social.

Palabras clave: Madera Plástica; Sustentabilidad Ambiental; Economía Circular; Reducción de Residuos Plásticos; Tecnologías Verdes.

INTRODUCTION

In the search for sustainable solutions to address current environmental challenges, innovation in eco-friendly materials has become a central sustainability strategy. The choice of sustainable materials plays a fundamental role in building a greener and more resilient future,^(1,2,3,4,5,6) from reducing greenhouse gas emissions to preserving natural resources and protecting ecosystems. In this context, plastic lumber stands out as a revolutionary advance, because it offers a solution to the problem of plastic waste and reduces the environmental impact of building materials production.^(7,8,9)

The type of wood made from recycled plastics, i.e., plastic lumber compared to traditional wood.^(10,11,12,13,14) It gives tons of waste a second life, preventing its accumulation in landfills and oceans; it also represents a less harmful alternative for forest and biodiversity conservation.

Similarly, the use of plastic lumber plays a crucial role in mitigating climate change by conserving natural carbon sinks and contributing to the reduction of tree felling, which is why its vital role in preserving forest ecosystems is recognized.^(15,16,17)

This article delves into the study of plastic lumber and highlights its critical role in promoting more environmentally friendly practices through a detailed analysis of its characteristics, manufacturing process, and diverse applications. The aim is to illustrate how the use of plastic lumber is not only a sustainable alternative in terms of waste management and resource conservation, but also a catalyst for innovation in the construction and design industries.

METHOD

To address the issue of plastic lumber as an element for environmental sustainability, a methodology based on document review was adopted. This methodology involved a detailed analysis of a wide range of existing literature, including academic studies, scientific journal articles, reports from environmental organizations, and official documents.^(18,19,20,21)

Based on previous literature, the focus was on identifying and selecting the most relevant and credible sources. Following these standards, special attention was paid to recent publications that reflected the most up-to-date processes relevant to the topic under study.^(22,23,24,25,26,27)

Following the material selection process, key information on the production, use, and recycling of plastic lumber was systematically compiled, and its impact on both plastic waste reduction and natural resource conservation was analyzed.

Subsequently, a critical evaluation of the data obtained was carried out to identify trends, advantages, challenges and opportunities associated with the use of plastic lumber, from the perspective of environmental sustainability. This evaluation allowed a deeper understanding of the phenomenon studied.⁽²⁸⁾

Finally, the findings were integrated and presented in a coherent and structured narrative that highlighted the importance of plastic lumber as a key component in promoting sustainable practices, emphasizing its contribution to the circular economy and environmental protection.

RESULTS

Research on plastic lumber as an element for environmental sustainability yielded significant results that form the basis of key ideas and units of analysis. These findings revealed the transformative potential of plastic lumber in promoting sustainable practices and its contribution to the circular economy.

A thorough analysis identified several key aspects that highlighted the importance of plastic-based lumber for environmental sustainability as an innovative material for mitigating environmental problems, as well as its positive impact on the social and economic spheres. These results provide a solid foundation for further study and analysis of plastic-based lumber from different perspectives. They generate the analytical units presented below, which allow for a more complete understanding of its potential and applications.

Reducing plastic waste and contributing to the circular economy

The production and use of plastic lumber are emerging as transformative elements in the fight against plastic pollution. These practices offer an innovative approach that mitigates waste accumulation in landfills and waterways and also catalyzes the transition toward a circular economy.^(29,30,31)

Plastic lumber, originating from the revaluation of recycled plastics, represents a key strategy for sustainable waste management and its importance in reducing plastic waste is evident, so much so that this importance is extended and recognized, a fact that implies a profound redefinition of the production and consumption cycles.^(10,32,33)

Plastic lumber embodies the fundamental principles of the circular economy by reintegrating waste materials into the value chain, so that each element is recycled and reused, thus minimizing the extraction of virgin resources and the generation of waste.^(34,35)

Furthermore, the implementation of plastic lumber has the potential to revolutionize recycling rates by

providing a stable market for recycled plastics. This will incentivize the collection and processing of these materials.^(36,37)

The importance of these practices also extends to reducing the environmental impact associated with the production of conventional construction materials. The production of plastic lumber, a product made from recycled plastics, requires less energy and produces fewer carbon emissions compared to the production of traditional materials.^(38,39)

This environmental benefit is complemented by the durability and strength of plastic lumber, which offers a long-lasting alternative to traditional construction materials, reducing the need for frequent replacement and the demand for new resources.^(40,41,42)

In conclusion, the adoption of plastic lumber represents a significant opportunity to advance toward more sustainable development models. By focusing on the reuse of recycled plastics to produce an innovative and environmentally friendly building material, significant progress can be made in reducing plastic pollution, fostering the circular economy, and reducing the environmental impact associated with the construction industry.

Life cycle analysis and environmental sustainability

Plastic lumber, as a product of recycled plastics, significantly reduces the need for new raw material extraction. This approach reduces pressure on forests and other natural resources, while also avoiding environmental degradation and biodiversity loss associated with resource extraction.^(10,29,38)

Furthermore, considering energy consumption and greenhouse gas emissions, it could be shown that the production of plastic lumber is considerably less carbon-intensive compared to the production of traditional materials. This is possible thanks to optimized manufacturing processes and the use of renewable energy in some of its production phases.^(43,44,45)

Another relevant factor in this analysis would be the study of the end-of-life of plastic lumber by evaluating recycling, reuse, and final disposal options. Unlike many conventional materials, plastic lumber can be designed to be recyclable at the end of its useful life. This approach minimizes waste generation and promotes a production and consumption model that respects planetary boundaries.^(46,47,48)

Plastic lumber is becoming a key material in the transition toward more sustainable and environmentally responsible construction practices, a fact evidenced by significant reductions in carbon footprint, efficient energy use, and conservation of natural resources.^(7,8,41,50)

These results reinforce the role of plastic lumber as an eco-efficient material, and additionally, serve to reinforce the importance that must be given to its use in building a more sustainable future.

Social and economic impact of the adoption of plastic lumber

The adoption of plastic lumber represents a paradigm shift in sustainable practices. Furthermore, it achieves profound social and economic impacts on local communities and the construction industry.^(40,43,47)

Plastic lumber, when made from plastic waste, contributes significantly to environmental cleanliness. It also encourages the circular economy by generating new economic opportunities and fostering the development of sustainable markets.^(34, 38, 46)

Analyzing the impact of plastic lumber reveals that its production drives job creation. This cycle creates a value chain that benefits multiple sectors, boosts local economic growth, and promotes social inclusion by creating accessible and sustainable jobs.^(40, 41, 42)

Furthermore, the integration of plastic-based lumber into construction and design projects is driving innovation in sustainable building materials. This will pave the way for the development and application of green technologies.^(10,39,40)

This drive for innovation improves the efficiency and sustainability of construction projects and sets new standards in the industry. Therefore, it will allow us to observe a transformation in market values and expectations toward greener and more sustainable options.^(35, 40, 47)

The impact of plastic lumber extends beyond its influence on job creation and technological innovation, contributing to social cohesion and community empowerment.⁽⁵⁰⁾ Involving local communities in the collection of plastic waste fosters greater environmental awareness and strengthens community ties through working together towards shared sustainability goals.^(51,52)

Therefore, analyzing the impact of using plastic-based lumber in construction shows that this material constitutes an environmentally sustainable solution. Furthermore, it can be a driver of economic and social change, given its role as a fundamental pillar in building a greener, more inclusive, and prosperous future.

CONCLUSIONS

This study highlights the potential of plastic lumber in promoting sustainable practices and the circular economy. Its production and use reduce plastic waste, cleaning up ecosystems, and minimizing dependence on natural resources. Plastic lumber transforms residual waste into a useful and durable material, addressing

pollution and promoting the circular economy. Life-cycle analysis shows environmental advantages of plastic lumber, such as a lower carbon footprint and energy consumption, and reduced greenhouse gas emissions. It is a sustainable alternative to address climate change and environmental degradation. Socially and economically, the use of plastic lumber generates employment, promotes green technologies, and drives innovation in sustainable building materials. Its value chain creates economic opportunities and fosters social inclusion.

REFERENCES

1. Afanador Cubillos N. Historia de la producción y sus retos en la era actual. *Región Científica.* 2023;2(1):202315. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc202315>
2. Gómez Miranda OM. La franquicia: de la inversión al emprendimiento. *Región Científica.* 2022;1(1):20229. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc20229>
3. Kammerer-David MI, Murgas-Téllez B. La innovación tecnológica desde un enfoque de dinámica de sistemas. *Región Científica.* 2024 ;3(1):2024217. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc2024217>
4. Machuca-Contreras F, Canova-Barrios C, Castro MF. Una aproximación a los conceptos de innovación radical, incremental y disruptiva en las organizaciones. *Región Científica.* 2023;2(1):202324. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc202324>
5. Ricardo Jiménez LS. Dimensiones de emprendimiento: Relación educativa. El caso del programa cumbre. *Región Científica.* 2022 ;1(1):202210. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc202210>
6. Vázquez-Vidal V, Martínez-Prats G. El desarrollo regional y su impacto en la sociedad mexicana. *Región Científica.* 2023 ;2(1):202336. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc202336>
7. Bianchini A, Rossi J. Design, implementation and assessment of a more sustainable model to manage plastic waste at sport events. *Journal of Cleaner Production.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.125345>
8. Clayton C. Building Collective Ownership of Single-Use Plastic Waste in Youth Communities: A Jamaican Case Study. *Social Sciences.* 2021 . <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci10110412>
9. Higuera Carrillo EL. Aspectos clave en agroproyectos con enfoque comercial: Una aproximación desde las concepciones epistemológicas sobre el problema rural agrario en Colombia. *Región Científica.* 2022;1(1):20224. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc20224>
10. Awoyera P, Adesina A. Plastic wastes to construction products: Status, limitations and future perspective. *Case Studies in Construction Materials.* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2020.e00330>
11. Kumar R, Verma A, Shome A, Sinha R, Sinha S, Jha P, et al. Impacts of Plastic Pollution on Eco-system Services, Sustainable Development Goals, and Need to Focus on Circular Economy and Policy Interventions. *Sustainability.* 2021. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13179963>
12. Pérez-Guedes N, Arufe-Padrón A. Perspectivas de transición energética en América Latina en el escenario pospandémico. *Región Científica.* 2023;2(1):202334. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc202334>
13. Ripoll-Rivaldo M. El emprendimiento social universitario como estrategia de desarrollo en personas, comunidades y territorios. *Región Científica.* 2023 ;2(2):202379. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc202379>
14. Sanabria Martínez MJ. Construir nuevos espacios sostenibles respetando la diversidad cultural desde el nivel local. *Región Científica.* 2022;1(1):20222. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc20222>
15. Moreira AdJ, Reis Fonseca RM. La inserción de los movimientos sociales en la protección del medio ambiente: cuerpos y aprendizajes en el Recôncavo da Bahia. *Región Científica.* 2024;3(1):2024208. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc2024208>
16. Sánchez-Castillo V, García-Rojas R, Gómez-Cano C. Redes Sociales Rurales y Capital social: El caso de los paneleros de Bellavista. *Universidad y Sociedad.* 2023;14(5):383-93. <https://rus.ucf.edu.cu/index.php/rus/article/view/3991>

17. Valencia-Celis AU, Patiño GR, Sánchez-Castillo V. Environmental Knowledge Management Pro-posals in Education Systems. *Bibliotecas. Anales de investigación*. 2023;19(2). <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=9027955>
18. Casasempere-Satorres A, Vercher-Ferrándiz ML. Bibliographic documentary analysis. Getting the most out of the literature review in qualitative research. *New Trends in Qualitative Re-search*. 2020;4:247-57. <https://doi.org/10.36367/ntqr.4.2020.247-257>
19. Mwita K. Strengths and weaknesses of qualitative research in social science studies. *Related Topics in Social Science*. 2022 ;11(6). <https://doi.org/10.20525/ijrbs.v11i6.1920>
20. Newman M, Gough D. Systematic Reviews in Educational Research: Methodology, Perspectives and Application. En: *Systematic Reviews in Educational Research*. Springer; 2019. p.1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-658-27602-7_1
21. Rodríguez Torres E, Pérez Gamboa AJ, Camejo Pérez Y. La formación del liderazgo distribuido en la intervención a favor del patrimonio cultural. *Transformación*. 2023;19(2):317-36. http://scielo.sld.cu/scielo.php?pid=S2077-29552023000200317&script=sci_arttext&tlng=en
22. Gómez-Cano C, Sánchez-Castillo V. Systematic review on Augmented Reality in health educa-tion. *Gamification and Augmented Reality*. 2023;1:28. <https://doi.org/10.56294/gr202328>
23. Gómez-Cano C, Sánchez-Castillo V, Castillo-Gonzalez W, Vitón-Castillo A, González-Argote J. Internet of Things and Wearable Devices: A Mixed Literature Review. *EAI Endorsed Transactions on Internet of Things*. 2023;9(4):e3. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eetiot.v9i4.4276>
24. Mogrovejo JM, Herrera Matínez SV, Maldonado LG. Estrategias para impulsar el agroturismo ru-ral en Norte de Santander. *Gestión y Desarrollo Libre*. 2019;4(7). <https://doi.org/10.18041/2539-3669/gestionlibre.7.2019.8138>
25. Orozco Castillo EA. Experiencias en torno al emprendimiento femenino. *Región Científica*. 2022;1(1):20227. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc20225>
26. Pérez-Gamboa AJ, Gómez-Cano C, Sánchez-Castillo V. Decision making in university contexts based on knowledge management systems. *Data & Metadata*. 2022;2:92. <https://doi.org/10.56294/dm202292>
27. Velásquez Castro LA, Paredes-Águila JA. Revisión sistemática sobre los desafíos que enfrenta el desarrollo e integración de las tecnologías digitales en el contexto escolar chileno, desde la docencia. *Región Científica*. 2024;3(1):2024226. <https://doi.org/10.58763/rc2024226>
28. Gonzales Tito YM, Quintanilla López LN, Pérez Gamboa AJ. Metaverse and education: A com-plex space for the next educational revolution. *Metaverse Basic and Applied Research*. 2023;2:56. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mr202356>
29. Khan M, Deviatkin I, Havukainen J, Horttanainen M. Environmental impacts of wooden, plastic, and wood-polymer composite pallet: a life cycle assessment approach. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*. 2021;26:1607-22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11367-021-01953-7>
30. Kumar S, Singh E, Mishra R, Kumar A, Caucci S. Utilization of Plastic Wastes for Sustainable Environmental Management: A Review. *ChemSusChem*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.202101631>
31. Schyns Z, Shaver M. Mechanical Recycling of Packaging Plastics: A Review. *Macromolecular Rapid Communications*. 2020:e2000415. <https://doi.org/10.1002/marc.202000415>
32. Balu R, Dutta N, Choudhury N. Plastic Waste Upcycling: A Sustainable Solution for Waste Management, Product Development, and Circular Economy. *Polymers*. 2022;14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14224788>
33. Shahani S, Gao Z, Qaisrani M, Ahmed N, Yaqoob H, Khoshnaw F, et al. Preparation and Characterisation of Sustainable Wood Plastic Composites Extracted from Municipal Solid Waste. *Polymers*. 2021;13. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ere2026299>

org/10.3390/polym13213670

34. Nguyen T, Ta Y, Dey P. Developing a plastic cycle toward circular economy practice. *Green Processing and Synthesis*. 2022;11:526-35. <https://doi.org/10.1515/gps-2022-0014>

35. Simon B. What are the most significant aspects of supporting the circular economy in the plastic industry? *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESCONREC.2018.10.044>

36. Başalp D, Tihminlioglu F, Sofuoglu S, Inal F, Sofuoglu A. Utilization of Municipal Plastic and Wood Waste in Industrial Manufacturing of Wood Plastic Composites. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*. 2020;11:5419-30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-020-00986-7>

37. Qureshi M, Oasmaa A, Pihkola H, Deviatkin I, Tenhunen A, Mannila J, et al. Pyrolysis of plastic waste: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*. 2020;152:104804. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JAAP.2020.104804>

38. López Y, Paes J, Gustave D, Gonçalves F, Méndez F, Nantet A. Production of wood-plastic composites using cedrela odorata sawdust waste and recycled thermoplastics mixture from post-consumer products - A sustainable approach for cleaner production in Cuba. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2020;244:118723. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118723>

39. Silva V, Nascimento M, Oliveira P, Panzera T, Rezende M, Silva D, et al. Circular vs. linear economy of building materials: A case study for particleboards made of recycled wood and biopolymer vs. conventional particleboards. *Construction and Building Materials*. 2021;285:122906. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2021.122906>

40. Alqahtani F, Zafar I. Plastic-based sustainable synthetic aggregate in Green Lightweight concrete - A review. *Construction and Building Materials*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CONBUILDMAT.2021.123321>

41. Chiou Y, Shen M, Chiang C, Li Y, Lai W. Effects of Environmental Aging on the Durability of Wood-Flour Filled Recycled PET/PA6 Wood Plastic Composites. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment*. 2021;30:1300-13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-021-02268-2>

42. Feng J, Dong P, Li R, Li C, Xie X, Shi Q. Effects of wood fiber properties on mold resistance of wood polypropylene composites. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*. 2019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IBIOD.2019.04.005>

43. Hertwich E, Ali S, Ciacchi L, Fishman T, Fishman T, Heeren N, et al. Material efficiency strategies to reducing greenhouse gas emissions associated with buildings, vehicles, and electronics—a review. *Environmental Research Letters*. 2019 ;14. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab0fe3>

44. Shen M, Huang W, Chen M, Song B, Zeng G, Zhang Y. (Micro)plastic crisis: Un-ignorable contribution to global greenhouse gas emissions and climate change. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2020;254:120138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120138>

45. Zheng J, Suh S. Strategies to reduce the global carbon footprint of plastics. *Nature Climate Change*. 2019 ;9:374-8. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-019-0459-z>

46. Beigbeder J, Soccalingame L, Perrin D, Benezet J, Bergeret A. How to manage biocomposites wastes end of life? A life cycle assessment approach (LCA) focused on polypropylene (PP)/wood flour and polylactic acid (PLA)/flax fibres biocomposites. *Waste management*. 2019;83:184-93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2018.11.012>

47. Fredi G, Dorigato A. Recycling of bioplastic waste: A review. *Advanced Industrial and Engineering Polymer Research*. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AIEPR.2021.06.006>

48. Sanz V, Serrano A, Schlummer M. A mini-review of the physical recycling methods for plastic parts in end-of-life vehicles. *Waste Management & Research*. 2022;40:1757-65. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X221094917>

49. Qiang T, Chou Y, Gao H. Environmental Impacts of Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene Toughened Wood Fiber/Poly lactide Composites: A Cradle-to-Gate Life Cycle Assessment. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2019;16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183402>

50. Sánchez Suárez Y, Pérez Gamboa AJ, Hernández Nariño A, Díaz-Chieng LY, Marqués León M, Pancorbo Sandoval JA, et al. Cultura hospitalaria y responsabilidad social: Un estudio mixto de las principales líneas para su desarrollo. *Salud, Ciencia y Tecnología-Serie de Conferencias*. 2023;2:451-451. <https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf2023451>

51. Saleh A, Mujahiddin M, Hardiyanto S. Social construction in plastic waste management for community empowerment and regional structure. *JPPI (Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Indonesia)*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.29210/020232133>

52. Yulita R, Irmawita I. Community Empowerment Through Plastic Waste Recycling Skill (Case Study On The Bidarmu Waste Bank). *SPEKTRUM: Jurnal Pendidikan Luar Sekolah (PLS)*. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.24036/spektrumpls.v10i1.114892>

FINANCING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

AUTHORSHIP CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Data curation: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Formal analysis: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Fundraising: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Research: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Methodology: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Project management: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Resources: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Software: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Supervision: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Validation: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Visualization: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Writing - original draft: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.

Writing - review and editing: Ginna Tovar Cardozo.